

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/517,643 | 12/09/2004 | Valery Dalle | MART0840US | 3061 |
| 24235 7590 LEVINE & MAND | | EXAMINER | | |
| 444 MADISON AVENUE | | | BOCHNA, DAVID | |
| 35TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3679 | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 1 |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PE | RIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 2 MONTHS | | 01/30/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | 10/517,643 | DALLE ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | David E. Bochna | 3679 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, | | | | | | |
| WHICI - Extens after S - If NO ; - Failure Any re | HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sicions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we be to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ I | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 November 2006</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition | on of Claims | | • | | | |
| 4) 🖂 (| Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)🛛 (| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9,11 and 12</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | • | | | |
| · · | Claim(s) <u>1 and 10</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) 🔲 (| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | · | | | |
| 9)⊠ T | he specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | · | | | |
| 10)∐ T | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) 🔲 acce | epted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | |
| , | Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| • | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(| (s) | _ | - | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/517,643

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:
 See the objections to the specification and claims 1 and 10 below.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The phrase "The invention concerns" should be removed from the abstract.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 contains grammatical errors, for example line 1 contains the phrase "connector for fluids with automatic locking". The phrase makes it sound as if the fluid has an automatic locking function instead of the connector. Claim 1 has other similarly worded phrases that should be corrected.

Additionally, it is unclear if "external lateral lugs" recited in line 12 are the same "external lateral lugs" recited in line 8. Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 10 is drawn to medical equipment including a male or female socket of a luer cone recited in claims 1 or 2 and not the actual connector 7 itself. Claim 1 is drawn to a connector 7 where the connector is intended to be used on two Luer cones, one Luer cone with a male socket 2a and the other Luer cone with a female socket 6. Because claim 10 does not further limit the subject matter of the connector 7 recited in claim 1, it has been objected to as not being further limiting of the connector 7.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Romero, Szabo, Szabo et al., Szabo '376 and Gallagher all disclose similar couplings common in the art.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Bochna whose telephone number is (571) 272-7078. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David E. Bochna Primary Examiner Art Unit 3679